8 9 10 For the Northern District of California 11 12 13 14 15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORN	ΙA

CONSTANCE ANDERSON,

No. C 05-01967 CRB

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO, et al.,

Defendants.

Now pending before the Court is plaintiff's motion to enforce the settlement agreement and for sanctions. After carefully considering the papers filed by the parties, the Court concludes that oral argument is unnecessary, see Local Rule 7-1(b), and DENIES plaintiff's motions. Plaintiff has not demonstrated that defendant is responsible for the delay in defendant's purchase of an annuity for plaintiff's benefit.

Before plaintiff files any new motions to enforce the settlement, plaintiff must meet and confer with defendants' counsel to determine if the dispute can be resolved without resort to the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 31, 2008

CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

G:\CRBALL\2005\1967\orderresettlement.wpd